

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Lenalidomide plus Dexamethasone for High-Risk Smoldering Multiple Myeloma

María-Victoria Mateos, M.D., Ph.D., Miguel-Teodoro Hernández, M.D., Pilar Giraldo, M.D., Javier de la Rubia, M.D., Felipe de Arriba, M.D., Ph.D., Lucía López Corral, M.D., Ph.D., Laura Rosiñol, M.D., Ph.D., Bruno Paiva, Ph.D., Luis Palomera, M.D., Ph.D., Joan Bargay, M.D., Albert Oriol, M.D., Felipe Prosper, M.D., Ph.D., Javier López, M.D., Ph.D., Eduardo Olavarría, M.D., Ph.D., Nuria Quintana, M.D., José-Luis García, M.D., Joan Bladé, M.D., Ph.D., Juan-José Lahuerta, M.D., Ph.D., and Jesús-F. San Miguel, M.D., Ph.D.

## ABSTRACT

**BACKGROUND**

For patients with smoldering multiple myeloma, the standard of care is observation until symptoms develop. However, this approach does not identify high-risk patients who may benefit from early intervention.

**METHODS**

In this randomized, open-label, phase 3 trial, we randomly assigned 119 patients with high-risk smoldering myeloma to treatment or observation. Patients in the treatment group received an induction regimen (lenalidomide at a dose of 25 mg per day on days 1 to 21, plus dexamethasone at a dose of 20 mg per day on days 1 to 4 and days 12 to 15, at 4-week intervals for nine cycles), followed by a maintenance regimen (lenalidomide at a dose of 10 mg per day on days 1 to 21 of each 28-day cycle for 2 years). The primary end point was time to progression to symptomatic disease. Secondary end points were response rate, overall survival, and safety.

**RESULTS**

After a median follow-up of 40 months, the median time to progression was significantly longer in the treatment group than in the observation group (median not reached vs. 21 months; hazard ratio for progression, 0.18; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.09 to 0.32;  $P < 0.001$ ). The 3-year survival rate was also higher in the treatment group (94% vs. 80%; hazard ratio for death, 0.31; 95% CI, 0.10 to 0.91;  $P = 0.03$ ). A partial response or better was achieved in 79% of patients in the treatment group after the induction phase and in 90% during the maintenance phase. Toxic effects were mainly grade 2 or lower.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Early treatment for patients with high-risk smoldering myeloma delays progression to active disease and increases overall survival. (Funded by Celgene; ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00480363.)

From Hospital Universitario de Salamanca, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Salamanca, Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular del Cáncer, Universidad de Salamanca—Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Salamanca (M.-V.M., L.L.C., B.P., J.-F.S.M.); Hospital Universitario de Canarias, Santa Cruz de Tenerife (M.-T.H.); Hospital Universitario Miguel Servet (P.G.) and Hospital Clínico Universitario Lozano Blesa (L.P.), Zaragoza; Hospital Universitario La Fe, Universidad Católica de Valencia San Vicente Mártir, Valencia (J.R.); Hospital General Universitario Morales Meseguer, Murcia (F.A.); Hospital Clínic de Barcelona and Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer, Barcelona (L.R., J. Bladé); Hospital Sont Llatzer, Palma de Mallorca (J. Bargay); Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona (A.O.); Clínica Universidad de Navarra (F.P.) and Hospital de Navarra (E.O.), Pamplona; and Hospital Ramón y Cajal (J.L.), Celgene (N.Q., J.-L.G.), and Hospital 12 de Octubre (J.-J.L.), Madrid — all in Spain. Address reprint requests to Dr. San Miguel at the Hematology Department, University Hospital of Salamanca, Paseo San Vicente 58-182, Salamanca 37007, Spain, or at sanmiguel@usal.es.

N Engl J Med 2013;369:438-47.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1300439

Copyright © 2013 Massachusetts Medical Society.